

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. /
09/672,776	09/29/00	ONO		F	Q61045
IM22/0522				EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC				BROWN	,C
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	DC 20037-3	213		1765	Z
				DATE MAILED	: 05/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/672,776

Applicant(s)

Ono

Examiner

Charlotte A. Brown

Art Unit **1765**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation.
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 16, 2	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>6-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>6-9</u>	
7) Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic 	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/672,776

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasai et al. (US 6,007,592) in view of Streinz et al. (US 6,015,506).

Kasai discloses a polishing composition for an aluminum disk that includes water, an alumina abrasive agent and a polishing accelerator. The polishing accelerator is preferably basic aluminum nitrate. The abrasive agent is alumina. The alumina particles have a mean particle size of 0.1 to 0.4 um (Column 3, lines 56-62). The alumina has an alumina crystalline structure with an alpha-phase content of 80% to 95% (Column 4, lines 4-16). This reads on the applicant's limitation that the alumina particles have an alpha conversion ratio of from 65% to 90%.

Unlike the claimed invention, Kasai does not teach a method in which the alumina particles have a specific surface area of from 30 to $80 \text{m}^2/\text{g}$.

Streinz discloses a method for polishing rigid disks. The rigid disk can be an aluminum disk. The abrasive used in the chemical mechanical polishing slurry is fumed alumina. The surface

Art Unit: 1765

area of the fumed alumina is about 40 m²/g to about 200 m²/g (Column 3, lines 45-64). The abrasive is incorporated into the aqueous medium of the polishing slurry. The slurry is diluted with deionized water or any other acceptable diluent (Column 4, lines 40-50).

It is the Examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify Kasai with the method of using alumina particles with a specific surface area of from 30 to 80 m²/g as taught by Streinz. The method of using alumina particles with a specific surface area in the polishing composition would have been anticipated in order to reduce the generation of scratches on the polishing surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to 4. Charlotte A. Brown whose telephone number is (703) 305-0727.

CAB

May 17, 2001